The Crystal and Molecular Structure of Bis(sarcosinato)nickel(II) Dihydrate

By Sankarananda Guha*

Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Jadavpur, Calcutta-32, India

(Received 28 February 1973; accepted 26 March 1973)

Bis-(sarcosinato)nickel(II) dihydrate, Ni(CH₃NHCH₂COO)₂.2H₂O, is triclinic with a=5.35 (1), b=6.63 (1), c=8.31 (2) Å, $\alpha=103.6$ (3), $\beta=95.4$ (3), $\gamma=99.3$ (3)°, Z=1. Three-dimensional photographic intensities (Cu K α radiation) were estimated visually. The structure was solved from a Patterson synthesis and refined by the full-matrix least-squares method with anisotropic temperature factors for the atoms. Hydrogen atoms were ignored. The final R is 0.103 for 875 reflexions or 0.092 if 111 unobserved reflexions are excluded. The molecule is centrosymmetric. The chelation around nickel is octahedral. One oxygen from the carboxyl group and the nitrogen atom from each sarcosinato residue are bonded to nickel in a plane while the oxygen atoms of the two water molecules occupy the other two sites. The Ni-N(1), Ni-O(1) and Ni-O(W) distances are 1.989 (9), 1.880 (8) and 2.236 (8) Å respectively. The dimensions of the sarcosinato residue are normal.

Experimental

The nickel(II) complex of sarcosine was prepared by heating nickel carbonate with an aqueous solution of sarcosine in stoichiometric ratio. The resultant solution was evaporated slowly and blue tabular crystals of the complex obtained. The crystals were mostly twins, but single crystals could be obtained by very slow evaporation. On application of pressure the single crystals also tend to become twins.

Rotation and Weissenberg photographs about **a**, **b** and **c** (λ for Cu K α =1.542 Å) showed that the crystals are triclinic with a=5.35 (1), b=6.63 (1), c=8.31 Å, α =103.6 (3), β =95.4 (3), γ =99.3 (3)°. The density measured by flotation was 1.69, while that calculated for one molecule of Ni(C₆H₁₂N₂O₄).2H₂O per unit cell is also 1.69 g cm⁻³. μ =27.1 cm⁻¹.

Since the crystals formed twins on application of pressure it was difficult to shape them to a suitable size. The crystal employed for collecting hkl data (k = 0 to 5) was elongated along **b** and had a cross section of 0.3×0.4 mm. The multiple-film equi-inclination Weissenberg technique was employed with Cu Ka radiation. Zero and higher-layer Weissenberg photographs were taken about **a** and **c** also, but the crystals used were not of suitable size, and these intensities suffered from irregular absorption. However the extra spots in the 0kl and $0k\bar{l}$ zones, not convered by the *b*-axis photographs, were included in the data.

Intensities were estimated by visual comparison with a calibrated strip and corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. The intensities of the extended spots on the upper half of the higher-layer Weissenberg photographs as well as those of a few extended spots on the contracted side and near the central line, were multiplied by the factor $1 + \Delta$, where Δ is the spot-size correction for a particular set of ϱ and ξ values (Phillips, 1954, 1956). The correction factor applied to the contracted spots on the lower half of the films was $1 - 0.4\Delta$ (Singh, 1967). The intensities on each layer were separately placed on an absolute scale by Wilson's (1942) method. The space group was shown to be PI by an *E*-statistics test on the three-dimensional data (Hauptman & Karle, 1953) as well as an N(z) test (Howells, Phillips & Rogers, 1950) on the *hol* reflexions.

Determination and refinement of the structure

A point-atom-sharpened Patterson synthesis revealed the structure completely. The R value at this stage was 0.28.

Refinement was carried out by the method of least squares using a modified version of the *ORFLS* program of Busing, Martin & Levy (1962) adapted to a 32K core memory CDC 3600 computer at the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay. The function minimized in the program was $\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2$, where $w = 1/\sigma^2$ and σ is the standard deviation of an intensity: for $F_o < 1.5$, $\sigma = 0.30 F_o$, for $1.5 \langle F_o \rangle$ 3.5 and $F_o > 30.0$, $\sigma = 0.15 F_o$, for the rest $\sigma = 0.075 F_o$. This estimate does not include absorption effects, for which no correction was applied. The scattering factors for C, N, O and Ni were taken from *International Tables* for X-ray Crystallography (1962). The scattering factor of Ni was corrected for anomalous dispersion.

Four cycles of full-matrix refinement with isotropic temperature factors and three with anisotropic reduced R to 0.100. A difference Fourier synthesis calculated at this stage showed no special features, indicating that the structure is correct. The hydrogen atoms, however, could not be located. After two more cycles of anisotropic refinement the final R was 0.092 for 764 observed reflexions or 0.103 if 111 unobserved reflex-

^{*} Present address: Regional Research Laboratory, Jorhat-6, Assam, India.

ions were included, the unobserved reflexions being assigned half the minimum observable intensity.

The final positional and thermal parameters with their standard deviations are given in Table 1. The observed and calculated structure factors are given in Table 2. A drawing of the molecule is shown in Fig. 1.

Discussion

(a) Molecular characteristics

The bond lengths and angles are given in Table 3 and in Fig. 2. The main features of the structure of the nickel complex of sarcosine are similar to those of the transition-metal complexes of other amino acids. The Ni atom is octahedrally coordinated. The nitrogen atom and one oxygen atom from the carboxyl group of each of the centrosymmetrically related ligands are bonded to nickel and form almost a square planar configuration, the O(1)-Ni-N(1) angle being 90.5 (3)°. The Ni-O(1) and Ni-N(1) distances are 1.880 (6) and 2.046 (7) Å respectively. The Ni-O(1) distance is the shortest so far reported for transition-metal aminoacid chelates.

The oxygen of the two water molecules completes the coordination with Ni–O(W) = 2·263 (6) Å. The Ni–O(W) bond makes an angle of 86°15′ with the plane of the coordination square, while the O(W)–Ni–O(1) and O(W)–Ni–N(1) angles are 91.5 (2) and 86.5 (3)° respectively.

The carboxyl group is substantially planar. The equation of the least-squares plane passing through the atoms C(2), C(1), O(1) and O(2) is

$$0.6189X' + 0.7222Y' - 0.3088Z' = 0.1995$$

where X', Y', Z' are coordinates in Å referred to a set of orthogonal axes given by



Fig. 1. A drawing of bis(sarcosinato)nickel(II) dihydrate.

Table 1. Positional coordinates and anisotropic temperature factors with estimated standard deviations

Anisotropic temperature factors are in the form exp $\left[-(\beta_{11}h^2 + \beta_{22}k^2 + \beta_{33}l^2 + \beta_{12}hk + \beta_{13}hl + \beta_{23}kl)\right]$ and are multiplied by 10⁴.

	x/a	y/b	z/c	β ₁₁	β_{22}	β_{33}	β_{12}	β_{13}	β_{23}
Ni	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	479 (10)	269 (10)	124 (3)	186 (8)	20 (4)	2 (4)
O(1)	-0·1857 (10)	0.2229 (8)	0.0329 (7)	253 (21)	168 (23)	210 (10)	146 (18)	0 (11)	60 (11)
C(1)	-0·1213 (13)	0.3488 (11)	0.1786 (8)	380 (29)	118 (27)	134 (10)	148 (22)	- 28 (14)	45 (12)
O(2)	-0·2291 (12)	0.4908 (9)	0.2382(7)	327 (24)	466 (30)	124 (9)	192 (23)	29 (11)	38 (13)
C(2)	0.0811 (14)	0.3015 (12)	0.2988 (10)	519 (30)	599 (33)	196 (12)	336 (24)	-18(13)	79 (14)
N(1)	0.2157 (14)	0.1311 (12)	0.2275 (9)	437 (28)	351 (26)	135 (10)	243 (21)	67 (12)	60 (12)
C(3)	0·2739 (16)	0.0169 (13)	0.3548 (10)	640 (32)	293 (32)	251 (12)	182 (24)	-13(14)	18 (14)
O(W)	0.3025 (11)	0.1842 (9)	-0·1074 (7)	484 (24)	244 (23)	127 (9)	247 (18)	31 (11)	55 (10)

Table 2. Observed and calculated structure factors

Within each group the columns, reading from left to right, contain the values 1, 10Fo and 10Fc. Unobserved reflexions are omitted.

$$X' = X + Y \cos \gamma + Z \cos \beta$$

$$Y' = Y \sin \gamma + Z \left(\frac{\cos \alpha - \cos \beta \cdot \cos \gamma}{\sin \gamma} \right)$$

$$Z' = Z(\sin^2 \gamma - \cos^2 \alpha - \cos^2 \beta + 2 \cos \alpha \cdot \cos \beta \cdot \cos \gamma)^{1/2} / \sin \gamma \cdot$$

The deviations from this plane of the atoms O(1), O(2), C(1), C(2), N(1), C(3) and Ni are -0.041, 0.004, 0.041, -0.023, 0.038, 0.105 and 0.833 Å respectively.

The dimensions of the sarcosinato residue do not



Fig. 2. Dimensions of the molecule.

differ significantly from those of other metal-amino acid complexes. The only exception is the angle C(1)-C(2)-N(1), whose value [115.7 (7)°] is somewhat high. As a result, the distance N(1)-O(1) [2.790 (9) Å] differs from the average value of 2.66 (1) Å found in other metal-amino acid complexes (Freeman, 1967). No great significance, however, can be attached to this deviation due to the presence of absorption errors in the data.

(b) Intermolecular characteristics

The packing of the molecules is shown in Fig. 3. Three hydrogen atoms, two from the water molecule and one from the nitrogen atom, are available for hydrogen-bond formation. From Table 3 it can be seen that O(W) has five close neighbours, of which two are N(1) atoms of the two centrosymmetric parts of the molecule. The $O(W)\cdots$ acceptor-C angles are also reasonably near the tetrahedral value. Without a knowledge of the positions of the hydrogen atoms it is not possible to ascertain which three of the five sites are involved in hydrogen-bond formation.

The author thanks the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi for a research grant. He also thanks Professor A. Bose and Dr R. K. Sen of this Association for laboratory facilities and for encouragement.

References

BUSING, W. R., MARTIN, K. O. & LEVY, H. A. (1962). ORFLS. Report ORNL-TM-305, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Table 3. Bond distances and angles with their estimated standard deviations

	0		
NiO(1)	1·880 (6) Å	N(1)C(3)	1·474 (12) Å
NiN(1)	2.046 (7)	O(1) - N(1)	2.790 (9)
Ni - O(W)	2.263 (6)	$N(1) - O(1^{i})$	2.767 (9)
O(1)–C(1)	1.282 (10)	O(W) - O(1)	2.979 (9)
O(2)-C(1)	1.207 (9)	$O(W^{1})-O(1)$	2.904 (9)
C(1)–C(2)	1.520 (11)	O(W) - N(1)	2.958 (10)
C(2) - N(1)	1.465 (11)	$O(W^{i})-N(1)$	3.141 (9)
O(1) - Ni - N(1)	90·5 (3)°	O(1) - Ni - O(W)	91·5 (2)°
N(1) - Ni - O(W)	86.5 (3)	Ni O(1) - C(1)	111.9 (5)
Ni - N(1) - C(2)	101.8 (5)	Ni - N(1) - C(3)	125.2 (5)
O(1) - C(1) - O(2)	125.3 (7)	O(1) - C(1) - C(2)	118.9 (6)
O(2) - C(1) - C(2)	115.2 (7)	C(1) - C(2) - N(1)	115.7 (7)
O(2) - N(1) - C(3)	109.0 (7)	O(1) - N(1) - Ni	42.4 (2)
N(1) - O(1) - Ni	47.2 (2)	N(1)O(1) - C(1)	64.9 (4)
O(1) - N(1) - C(2)	60.0 (4)	O(1) - N(1) - C(3)	142.9 (6)
$N(1) - O(1^{i}) - Ni$	47.7 (2)	$N(1) - O(1^{i}) - C(1^{i})$	159.2 (5)
$O(1^{i}) - N(1) - C(2)$	143.9 (6)	$O(1^{i}) - N(1) - C(3)$	92·8 (5)
O(W) - O(1) - Ni	49.4 (2)	O(W) - O(1) - C(1)	105.0 (4)
$O(W^i)-O(1)-Ni$	51.2 (2)	$O(W^{1}) - O(1) - C(1)$	102.6 (4)
O(W) - N(1) - Ni	49.8 (2)	O(W) - N(1) - C(2)	105.4 (6)
O(W) - N(1) - C(3)	145.2 (4)	$O(W^{i})-N(1)-Ni$	46·0 (2)
$O(W^{1}) - N(1) - C(2)$	91.0 (5)	$O(W^{1}) - N(1) - C(3)$	88.7 (5)
Intermolecular distance and angle			
$O(W^{11})-O(2)$	2·692 (11) Å	$O(W^{11})-O(2)-C(1)$	125·8 (5)°
	Symmetry code:	i $-x, -y, -z$	
		ii $-x, 1-y, -z$	



Fig. 3. Packing of the molecule in projection down c.

FREEMAN, H. C. (1967). Advanc. Protein Chem. 22, 237-424. HAUPTMAN, H. & KARLE, J. (1953). Solution of the Phase Problem. I. The Centrosymmetric Crystal, A.C.A. MonoInternational Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Vol. III. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. PHILLIPS, D. C. (1954). Acta Cryst. 7, 746-751. PHILLIPS, D. C. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 819-821.

graph No. 3. Pittsburgh: Polycrystal Book Service. Howells, E. R., Phillips, D. C. & Rogers, D. (1950). *Acta Cryst.* 3, 210–214.

SINGH, A. K. (1967). Z. Kristallogr. 124, 378-383. WILSON, A. J. C. (1942). Nature, Lond. 150, 151-152.